

## PRELIMINARY DRAFT No. 3067

## PREPARED BY LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY 2012 GENERAL ASSEMBLY

## **DIGEST**

**Citations Affected:** IC 31-9-2-67; IC 31-14-13; IC 31-17-2.

Synopsis: Joint legal custody. Establishes a rebuttable presumption that an award of joint legal custody is in the best interest of a child. Requires a court, if a party seeks to rebut the presumption, to consider: (1) the fitness and suitability of each of the persons awarded joint legal custody; (2) the ability of the parents to communicate and advance the child's welfare; and (3) whether the child has established a close and beneficial relationship with both of the persons awarded joint legal custody. Repeals certain provisions governing the award of joint legal custody that are being superseded by this bill.

Effective: July 1, 2012.



A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 31-9-2-67, AS AMENDED BY P.L.95-2009,
2	SECTION 1, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
3	JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 67. "Joint legal custody", for purposes of
4	IC 31-14-13, IC 31-17-2-13, <del>IC 31-17-2-14,</del> and IC 31-17-2-15, means
5	that the persons awarded joint custody will share authority and
6	responsibility for the major decisions concerning the child's
7	upbringing, including the child's:
8	(1) education;
9	(2) health care; and
10	(3) religious training.
11	However, the term does not include an award of physical custody
12	of the child.
13	SECTION 2. IC 31-14-13-2 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
14	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 2. The court shall
15	determine custody in accordance with the best interests of the child.
16	Except as provided in section 2.2 of this chapter, in determining the
17	child's best interests, there is not a presumption favoring either parent.
18	The court shall consider all relevant factors, including the following:
19	(1) The age and sex of the child.
20	(2) The wishes of the child's parents.
21	(3) The wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
22	child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age.
23	(4) The interaction and interrelationship of the child with:
24	(A) the child's parents;
25	(B) the child's siblings; and
26	(C) any other person who may significantly affect the child's
27	best interest.
28	(5) The child's adjustment to home, school, and community.
29	(6) The mental and physical health of all individuals involved.
30	(7) Evidence of a pattern of domestic or family violence by either



parent.

31

	<del>-</del>
1	(8) Evidence that the child has been cared for by a de facto
2	custodian, and if the evidence is sufficient, the court shall
3	consider the factors described in section 2.5(b) of this chapter.
4	SECTION 3. IC 31-14-13-2.2 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
5	CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
6	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 2.2. There is a rebuttable
7	presumption that an award of joint legal custody is in the best
8	interest of the child.
9	SECTION 4. IC 31-14-13-2.4 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
10	CODE AS A <b>NEW</b> SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
11	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 2.4. If a party seeks to rebut the
12	presumption under section 2.2 of this chapter that an award of
13	joint legal custody is in the best interest of the child, the court shall
14	consider:
15	(1) the fitness and suitability of each of the persons who would
16	be awarded joint legal custody;
17	(2) whether the persons who would be awarded joint legal
18	custody are able to communicate and cooperate in advancing
19	the child's welfare; and
20	(3) whether the child has established a close and beneficial
21	relationship with both of the persons who would be awarded
22	joint legal custody.
23	SECTION 5. IC 31-17-2-8 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
24	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 8. The court shall
25	determine custody and enter a custody order in accordance with the
26	best interests of the child. Except as provided in section 13 of this
27	chapter, in determining the best interests of the child there is no
28	presumption favoring either parent. The court shall consider all
29	relevant factors, including the following:
30	(1) The age and sex of the child.
31	(2) The wishes of the child's parent or parents.
32	(3) The wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
33	child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age.
34	(4) The interaction and interrelationship of the child with:
35	(A) the child's parent or parents;
36	(B) the child's sibling; and
37	(C) any other person who may significantly affect the child's
38	best interests.
39	(5) The child's adjustment to the child's:
40	(A) home;
41	(B) school; and
42	(C) community.

(6) The mental and physical health of all individuals involved.

(7) Evidence of a pattern of domestic or family violence by either

(8) Evidence that the child has been cared for by a de facto



parent.

43

44

45

46

1	custodian, and if the evidence is sufficient, the court shall
2	consider the factors described in section 8.5(b) of this chapter.
3	SECTION 6. IC 31-17-2-13 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
4	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 13. The court may
5	award legal custody of a child jointly if the court finds that an award of
6	joint legal custody would be There is a rebuttable presumption that
7	an award of joint legal custody is in the best interest of the child.
8	SECTION 7. IC 31-17-2-15, AS AMENDED BY P.L.3-2008,
9	SECTION 237, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS
10	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 15. In determining whether an
11	award of joint legal custody If a party seeks to rebut the
12	presumption under section 13 of this chapter would be that an award
13	of joint legal custody is in the best interest of the child, the court shall
14	consider: it a matter of primary, but not determinative, importance that
15	the persons awarded joint custody have agreed to an award of joint
16	legal custody. The court shall also consider:
17	(1) the fitness and suitability of each of the persons who would
18	be awarded joint legal custody;
19	(2) whether the persons who would be awarded joint legal
20	custody are willing and able to communicate and cooperate in
21	advancing the child's welfare; and
22	(3) the wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
23	child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age;
24	(4) (3) whether the child has established a close and beneficial
25	relationship with both of the persons who would be awarded joint
26	legal custody.
27	(5) whether the persons awarded joint custody:
28	(A) live in close proximity to each other; and
29	(B) plan to continue to do so; and
30	(6) the nature of the physical and emotional environment in the
31	home of each of the persons awarded joint custody.
32	SECTION 8. IC 31-17-2-17 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
33	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2012]: Sec. 17. (a) Except:
34	(1) as otherwise:
35	(A) agreed by the parties in writing at the time of the custody
36	order; <b>or</b>
37	(B) provided in an order by the court; and
38	(2) as provided in subsection (b);
39	the custodian may determine the child's upbringing, including the
40	child's education, health care, and religious training.
41	(b) If the court finds after motion by a noncustodial parent that, in
42	the absence of a specific limitation of the custodian's authority, the
43	child's:
44	(1) physical health would be endangered; or

(2) emotional development would be significantly impaired;

the court may specifically limit the custodian's authority.



45

46

- 1 SECTION 9. THE FOLLOWING ARE REPEALED [EFFECTIVE
- 2 JULY 1, 2012]: IC 31-14-13-2.3; IC 31-17-2-14.

